

No.	Item No	Raised By	Question Raised	Answer
1.	8	John Cox	<p>How does the procedure to choose a Brent Cross replacement for Hammerson interact with the separate procedure to finance a new Thameslink station at Brent Cross?</p>	<p>Hammerson and Standard Life will still continue to deliver the Shopping Centre and infrastructure required to support the comprehensive development of the regeneration area.</p> <p>The Council is working with public sector partners to agree a funding strategy to bring forward delivery of the Thameslink Station.</p> <p>The Council and its preferred development partner will work to deliver the south side scheme. This will include working with Network Rail and other rail interests to deliver the Thameslink Station, which is an integral part of the south side scheme.</p>
2.	8	John Cox	<p>Whatever the content of the 26 Feb. meeting regarding Brent Cross, will you publish all risk assessments relating to any of that content, including stating the worst-case liability that the authority could suffer, and how the authority would meet that?</p> <p>What established risk assessment procedures do the authority perform?</p>	<p>The major risks will be reported to Members at the Urgency Committee. No further information will be released.</p> <p>The Council holds regular programme and project meetings which highlights, reviews and monitors risks and issues on the Brent Cross Cricklewood Scheme. Internal project management tools are also used in</p>

			<p>If there is any mention of a Thameslink station in the 26 Feb. documents, will you publish what established risk assessment procedures the Treasury is performing?</p>	<p>conjunction with the internal project and programme meetings.</p> <p>Yes, the report mentions the Thameslink Station but only within the context of the Council taking responsibility for leading the delivery of the station. There are no new proposals or recommendations relating to delivery of the Thameslink Station.</p>
3.	8	John Cox	<p>Will the Committee state what methods of construction of the new North Circular Road (NCR) bridges have been studied, in order to conclude that 205 Barnet homes must be demolished to provide a construction site for them?</p> <p>Does the Committee welcome the promise from Hammerson to grass over the site of the 205 homes, after the bridges have been jacked over the NCR, and the site cleared?</p> <p>Will the Committee authorise a plaque on the site, so it can be visited by some of the current residents in the 205 homes?</p>	<p>This is not a matter for the Urgency Committee.</p> <p>The detail of the methods of construction is a matter for the reserved matters applications and highway orders work being undertaken throughout this year. The need to relocate the Whitefield Estate was considered as part of the outline permission in 2010 and again in 2014.</p> <p>The detail of the proposals for the site of Whitefield Estate will be considered as part of the appropriate reserved matter applications to be considered in due course.</p> <p>The Council and its preferred development partner will engage existing and neighbouring residents in developing</p>

				proposals for implementing the southside scheme. If residents ask for such a plaque this will be considered alongside other ideas at the appropriate time. It is not a matter for Urgency Committee.
4.	8	John Cox	<p>Does the Committee welcome the demolition of 205 homes at Brent Cross, and building only 74 replacements, and those on two children's play areas?</p> <p>Does the committee welcome the fact the authority's supplementary planning framework document for Brent Cross (and west Hendon) estimates 29,000 extra cars per day in the area? (This is in addition to bus station and rail station provision.)</p> <p>Has the Committee considered alternatives to that? Is this environmentally sound?</p>	<p>The outline permission secures the replacement of the total floorspace of the Whitefield Estate units. The current reserved matter application is for Part 1 of the replacement Whitefield units necessary to provide the critical infrastructure. The remainder will be provided by the Council and its development partner at the appropriate time.</p> <p>This is a planning matter and was therefore considered at the time of the outline permission in 2010 and 2014.</p>
5.	8	John Cox	Does the committee welcome the consent for a 300,000 tonne/year incinerator at Brent Cross, and a 5-storey building on Cricklewood's only green space?	This is a planning matter and was therefore considered at the time of the outline permission in 2010 and 2014.